UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,143	04/26/2007	Karl Reizlein	2400.0640000/VLC	4984
26111 7590 12/24/2009 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1100 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.	BROWE, DAVID		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/587,143	REIZLEIN ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DAVID M. BROWE	1616			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Aba</u>	<u>andoned</u>			
(1) <u>DAVID M. BROWE</u> .	(3)				
(2) <u>ROBERT ESMOND</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 16 December 2009	Time: <u>12:30 PM</u>				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None					
Claims discussed: None					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet					
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Robert Esmond, attorney for Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC in Washington, DC, was asked by Examiner David M. Browe whether a response was filed to the restriction requirement mailed on April 28, 2009. Mr. Esmond responded that a response was not filed and that an abandonment letter can be sent out.